This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200>

Crystalline Methyl β-L-Pfamnofuranqside From Fischer Glycqsidatiok of L-Rhamnose

Jan Staněk jr.ª; Jitka Moravcováª; Jiří Jarýª ^a Laboratory of Monosaccharides, Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague 6, C.S.S.R.

To cite this Article Staněk jr., Jan , Moravcová, Jitka and Jarý, Jiří(1985) 'Crystalline Methyl β-L-Pfamnofuranqside From Fischer Glycqsidatiok of L-Rhamnose', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 4: 1, 79 - 90 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328308508062950

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328308508062950>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

CRYSTALLINE METHYL β -L-RHAMNOFURANOSIDE FROM FISCHER

GLYCOSIDATION OF L -RHAMNOS

Jan Staněk, jr.,^{*} Jitka Moravcová, and Jiří Jarý

Laboratory of Monosaccharides Institute of Chemical Technology 166 28 Prague 6, C.S.S.R.

Received June 28, 1984 - **Final** Form **October 16, 1984**

ABSTRACT

Flash chromatography of the mixture obtained by reaction of L -rhamnose with methanol in the presence of cation-exchange re-
 $\frac{L}{2}$ -rhamnose with methanol in the presence of cation-exchange resin, in addition to methyl o+L-rhamnofuranoside (3) and methyl rhamnopyranosides 1 and 2 gave methyl β -L-rhamno Furanoside (4) in 8% yield. ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of 3 and 4 as well as their calculated conformation in solution are discussed.

IhTRODUCTION

From the acid catalyzed reaction of l -rhamnose with methan-</u> ol three methyl glycosides are known: methyl o+L-rhamnopyranoside (1), obtained¹⁻⁶ from the equilibrium mixture in 50-78% yield by simple crystallization; methyl β -L-rhamnopyranoside (2), isolated^{2,7,8} from the mother liquor in ca. 3% yield (usually via its crystalline tri-O-acetyl derivative); and methyl α -L-rhamno**furanoside** *(3),* - chromatographically separated in 30% **yield** from an early stage of the glycosidation reaction.⁹⁻¹¹ Regarding the low yield of 2 as compared to its maximum ratio in this reaction (ca. 17%) **l2** on *the* **one hand,** and *the* **maxkedly** kre Complicated

Copyright *0* **1985 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. 0732-8303/85/040 1** *-0079\$3.50/0*

procedures for its synthesis by alternative routes $13-15$ on the other, we decided to reinvestigate its isolation by chromatographic means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When repeating the chromatographic separations^{9,10,16} of the glycosidation mixture we were surprised to find that the boiling of a mixture of L-rhamnose, strong cation-exchange resin and methanol for 90 min, i.e. under the conditions described by Anisuzzaman and Whistler, 10 yielded not only the previously des-Anisuzzaman and whistler, yielded not only the pleviously des-
cribed compounds 1, 2, 3, and unreacted <u>I</u>-rhamnose, but also an unknown compound 4, which all could be easily isolated by flash liquid chromatography. System chloroform/methanol 10 separated the pair 1 and 2 from compound 3 and compound 4, while system henzene/ethanol. separated compounds 1, 3, and the pair 2 and 4; a canbination of both chromtographic treatments gave all four compounds $1 - 4$ in pure state.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $1 \text{ R}^1 = \text{OCH}_3$, $\text{R}^2 = \text{H}$
 $2 \text{ R}^1 = \text{H}$, $\text{R}^2 = \text{OCH}_3$ $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = OCH_3$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{2}{4}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{2}{8}$ $R^1 = OCH_3$, $R^2 = R^3 = H$ $R^1 = R^3 = H$, $R^2 = OCH_3$ $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = OCH_3$, $R^3 = COCH_3$ R^1 = OCH₃, R^2 = H, R^3 = COCH₃

The unknown 4 , isolated after 30 min reaction period in 8% yield, mp 65 - 67^o C, $\left[\alpha\right]_D$ +129^o (water), turned out to be the remaining possible glycoside, methyl β -L-rhamnofuranoside (4) ,

having all four substituents oriented to one side of the tetrahydrofurane ring. 17,18

As expected, no marked differences were observed for the 13_C chemical shifts of C-1, C-2, and C-3 signals of methyl β -L- $-$ rhamnofuranoside (4) and methyl β -**D**-mannofuranoside¹⁹ (5), the rep lacement of CH_2OH at C-5 with CH_3 affected only the C-4 and C-5 resonances (Table 1). The latter was shielded by 4 p.p.m. due to this replacement, which corresponded to the results found by simple alcohols or hexopyranosides, respectively. 19,20 The downfield shift of $C-4$ (+4.5 p.p.m.) has also an analogy to hexopyranosides, 20 the analogy being the strong dependence upon axial or equatorial orientation of OH-4 (configuration \underline{P} -manno $+5$ p p m \underline{P} -22) axia $+2$ 5 p p m \underline{P} -22 axial \underline{P} -1 \underline{P} \underline{P} -1 \underline{P} \underline{P} -1 \underline{P} +5 p.p.m., D-galacto +2.5 p.p.m.). According to the $\frac{1}{H}$ NMR data (Tables 2 and 3), both furanosides 4 and 5 have the side-chain in zigzag conformation, with anti-periplanar orientation of hydrogen atoms H-4 and H-5 $(J_{4,5} = 8.5 \text{ Hz})$. In other words, the spatial orientation of C-4 and C-5 substituents in the furanoside $\frac{4}{1}$ should be the same as, for example, in methyl α -L-rhamnopyranoside (1) in ${}^{1}C_{4}(\underline{\underline{L}})$ conformation. The downfield shift observed is almost identical with that found with mannopyranosides but not with galactopyranosides.

The chemical shift difference of position 4 between rhamnofuranoside $\frac{4}{1}$ and mannofuranoside 5 became evident also in $\frac{1}{H}$ MMR spectra (Table 2), replacement of CH_2OH by CH_3 caused an upfield shift of 0.21 p.p.m. At the same time, the conformity of vicinal coupling constants (Table 3) indicated that such a replacement did not markedly affect the conformation of the five **membered** ring, **and** thus both **compounds** will adopt **the** same segment of pseudorotational itinerary. To assign this segment, we used the recent empirical generalization²³ of the Karplus equation which takes into account not only the dependency of coupling constants on the electronegativities of substituents but also the spatial orientation of these substituents relative to the

TABLE 2

Proton Chemical-Shift Data for Methyl Glycofuranosides in Deuterium Oxide

Proton-Proton, Spin-Coupling Data for Methyl Glycofuranosides in Deuterium Oxide

coupled protons. We have calculated coupling constants $J_{2,3}$ and $J_{3,4}$ for all conformations of the pseudorotational circuit, i.e. $53,4$ for all values of phase angle P (refs. 24 and 25), with puckering amplitude $\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm}$ ranging from 32 $^{\rm O}$ to 45 $^{\rm O}$. Assuming that methyl Ing amplicude $\psi_{\rm m}^{\rm m}$ ranging from 32 \pm 00 45 . Assuming that methylogically \hat{J} - \underline{L} -rhamnofuranoside ($\underline{4}$) adopted only one segment of the pseudorotational itinerary in solution, the best fit values 26 were obtained for the segment with predominant $^2\text{T}, (\underline{\text{L}})$ conformation having the φ _m value of 35[°]. The calculated slopes of J_{2,3} and $J_{3,4}$ are shown in Figure 1; for the conformation mentioned above, the theoretical values obtained are 4.98 Hz and 3.54 Hz. The same conformation, with quasi-axial anomeric methoxyl group and quasi-equatorial bulky side-chain, was proposed by Angya 1^{21} for L-enantiomer of glycoside 5 , whereas Cyr and Perlin²² prefered the conformation ${}^4E(\underline{\underline{L}})$. For the last mentioned possibility, our calculations gave $J_{2,3}$ = 6.77 Hz and $J_{3,4}$ = 2.78 Hz, quite different **frcm** the experimental data (Table 3). I-rhamnofuranoside (4) adopted only one segment of the ps
tational itinorary, in colution, the boat fit values $2^{\frac{26}{5}}$

Fig. 1. Calculated coupling constants $J_{2,3}$ (o) and $J_{3,4}$ (\bullet) in methyl β -L-rhamnofuranoside (4) as a function of conformation defined by the pseudorotation phase angle P (puckering amplitude φ 35^o).

Principally, the same difference in 13 C and 1 H chemical shifts as found for the pair 4 and 5 can also be traced for the pair methyl $o \in L$ -rhamnofuranoside (3) and methyl $o \in L$ -mannofuranoside (6) . The coupling constant values $J_{2,3}$ and $J_{3,4}$ indicate that 3 adopts the segment defined by ${}^{2}T_{3}(\underline{b})$ conformation (P 0^o), or partly by $E_3(\underline{\underline{L}})$ (P 18^O) with puckering amplitude ϕ_m 39^O. Ot-
have conformations from the salged act (4 22 ^O 45 B 0^O her conformations from the calculated set $(\phi_m$ 32[°] - 45[°], P 0[°] -- 360°) give a worse coincidence with experimental data. Angyal proposed²¹ the conformation E₃(L) for L-enantiomer of 5, Cyr and Perlin²² conformation ${}^{4}E(\underline{L})$. For the last mentioned conformation the calculated values $(J_{2,3} = 6.52 \text{ Hz}, J_{3,4} = 2.20 \text{ Hz})$ differ significantly from the experimental ones (Table 3). $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$,

As expected, methyl β -L-rhamnofuranoside (4) yielded a mixture of pyranosides 1 and 2 when heated with methanol in the

presence of cation-exchange resin. Acetylation of 4 gave syrupy tri-0-acetyl derivative $\frac{7}{16}$, acetylation shifts of protons in $\frac{1}{1}$ H *NMR* confirmed the furanoid structure of glycoside 4. Similarly, ¹H NMR spectrum of methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-o+L-rhamnofuranoside (8) confirmed the furanoid structure of anomer 3 .

Fischer glycosidation of L-rhamnose may thus give all four possible methyl rhamnosides including the uncommon methyl β -L- $-$ rhamnofuranoside (4) in reasonable yields; in the case of our target methyl β -L-rhamnopyranoside (2) the yield as high as 11% was obtained by simple chromatographic separation. *As* compounds of this type possess antiallergic activity, $28,29$ our further attention will be paid to investigating the optimun conditions of the formation of each $1 - 4$.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Procedures. Melting **points** were obtained with a Kofler hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on an Opton Photoelectric Precision Polarimeter 0.005 at 20°C. 'H *NMR* spectra were recorded with a Varian $XL-100-15$ at 100 MHz, 13 C NMR spectra with a Tesla BS-567 at 25.14 MHz, the spectra were recorded at 25° C. Tetramethylsilane (in deuterochloroform) and sodium 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-I-sulfcnate or 1,4-dioxane (in deuterium oxide) were the internal standards. Column chromatography was performed on sili ca gel Lachema (Brno) 100 - 160 μ m and TIC on silica gel according to Stahl, $10 - 40$ μ m (Merck, Darmstadt), with solvent systems as follows: A, chloroform - methanol 15 : 1; B, benzene $-ethanol$ 7 : 1; C, benzene - ethanol 20 : 1. Components on TLC plates were visualized by spraying with 1% cerium(IV) sulfate in 10% sulfuric acid and subsequent mineralization. Solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure.

Methyl $4-L$ -rhamnofuranoside (4) . A solution of L-rhamnose (1.88 g) in methanol **(40** ml) was **boiled** for 30 min under reflux with 6 ml of Amberlite IR 120 $(H⁺)$ resin. The mixture was then cooled, filtered, the resin washed thoroughly with methanol, and combined filtrates were evaporated to give a syrup. Three spots could be detected (TLC), in addition to unreacted L -rhamnose, in both solvent A \lceil Rf values: 0.19 (3), 0.17 (4, tailing), 0.09 $(1 + 2)$ and solvent B [Rf values: 0.19 (3) , 0.11 (1) , 0.07 $(2 + 4)$. The mixture was separated by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g, solvent A) to give a fraction of furanosides $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{4}{2}$ (950 mg) and a fraction of pyranosides $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{2}{2}$ (891 mg). Column chroinatography of the furanoside fraction on silica gel (100 g) using solvent B afforded first the major compound, methyl c-L-rhamnofuranoside (3), 765 mg (37.5%), mp 56-58^OC (ether, repeated recrystallization from benzene gave a sample with the same melting point), $\left[\alpha\right]_0$ -98⁰ (c 1.2, water), -94⁰ (c 1.0, chlorofom), $\left[\text{ref.} \right]^{10,30}$ mp 58°C (ether), ref.⁹ mp 62°C (benzene), $\left[\text{cd}_{\text{D}} -92^{\circ}\right]$ (chloroform) $10^{\circ}-98.6^{\circ}$ (water), $9^{\circ}-97^{\circ}$ (chloroform) 30° followed by methyl β -L-rhamnofuranoside (4) , 176 mg $(8.6%)$. Compound 4 crystallized from ethereal solution after a charcoal treatment; recrystallization from the same solvent gave an analytical sample, mp $65 - 67^{\circ}\text{C}$, $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}$ +129[°] (c 1.3, water). Anal. Calcd for $C_7H_{14}O_5$ (178.2): C, 47.18; H, 7.92. Found: C, 47.32; H, 7.81. Pyranoside fraction was chromatographed on silica gel (100 g, solvent B) to give methyl d -L-rhamnopyranoside (1), (100 g, solvent <u>B</u>) to give methyl drightnamicpyranoside (<u>1</u>)
(630 mg, 30.8%), mp 108 - 109⁰C (ethanol), $\left[\alpha\right]_D$ -63⁰ (c 1.1₎ water), identical to an independently synthesized sample³ $[ref.]$ $mp 108 - 109^{\circ}c$, $\left[\alpha\right]_{n}$ -62.5[°] (water), see also refs. 2 - 6, 16[']], followed by methyl β -L-rhamnopyranoside (2), 233 mg (11.4%) having the same physical constants as described in refs. $7 - 9$, 13, 15, 16, mp 138 - 139⁰C, $\left[\alpha\right]_{\mathsf{D}}$ +95⁰ (c 1.1, water).

Reaction of Methyl β -L-rhamnofuranoside (4) with Methanol Catalyzed by Cation-exchange Resin. A solution of 4 (100 mg) in methanol (4 ml) was stirred for 30 h at 60°C with 0.5 ml of Amberlite IR 120 (H^+) . The mixture was cooled, filtered, and

resin washed with methanol. The filtrate was evaporated to *dry*ness and products were separated by column chromatography on silica gel (40 g) . Elution with solvent \underline{B} gave 93 mg of methyl α -<u>I</u>-rhamnopyranoside (1), followed by 5 mg of methyl β -I-rham nopyranoside (z), identical to samples obtained abwe.

Methyl $2,3,5$ -tri-O-acetyl- A -L-rhamnofuranoside (7). Acetic anhydride (1.5 ml) was added to a solution of $\frac{4}{5}$ (60 mg) in pyridine **(2** ml) and the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. After addition of water, the mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by chromatography on silica gel (20 g) using solvent C . Triacetate $\frac{7}{1}$ was obtained as a colorless oil, 91 mg (89%), $\left[\alpha\right]_0$ +87^O (c 0.9, chloroform). ¹H **NMR** (CDCl₃): 1.35 (3H, d, J_{5,6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6), 1.98 (3H, s, CH₃COO), 2.08 (3H, s, CH₃COO), 2.10 (3H, s, CH₃COO), 3.40 (3H, s, CH₃O), 4.08 (1H, dd, J_{3,4} = 4.8 Hz, J_{4,5} = 9.0 Hz, H-4), 5.0 (2H, m, H-1, H-2), 5.10 (1H, dq, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-5), 5.65 (1H, dt, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{1,3} = 1.0$ Hz, H-3).

Anal Calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}O_8$ (304.3): C, 51.31; H, 6.62. Found: C, 51.48; H, 6,58.

.40; n, 0,50.
<u>Methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-cf-L-rhamnofuranoside</u> (<u>8</u>). A mixture of furanosides 3 and 4 (275 mg, 1 : 1) was acetylated with acetic anhydride (8 ml) in pyridine (13 ml) . After the excess of reagent was decomposed with water, the mixture was evaporated to dryness and chromatcgraphed on silica gel column (100 g) using benzene-ethanol 100 : 1. Triacetate 8 (162 mg) was eluted first, followed by β -anomer 7 (142 mg); mixed fractions were rechromatographed analogously. Methyl 2,3,5-tri-0-acetyl-cf--rhamnofuranoside (8) did not crystallize, $\left[\vec{\alpha}\right]_0$ -94^O (c 1.0, chloroform). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.33 (3H, d, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6), 1.98 (3H, s, CH₃COO), 2.05 (6H,, s, 2 x CH₃COO), 3.41 (3H, s, (IH, dd, J₃ = 4.1 Hz, J_{4 5} = 8.6 Hz, H-4), 5.00
CH₃O), 4.15 (1H, dd, J_{3 4} = 4.1 Hz, J_{4 5} = 8.6 Hz, H-4), 5.00 (1H, d, J_{1,2} = 3.3 Hz, H-1), 5.09 (1H, dq, J_{4,5} = 8.6 Hz, J_{5,6} =

= 6.2 Hz, H-5), 5.16 (1H, dd, J_{1.2} = 3.3 Hz, J_{2.3} = 5.0 Hz, $H-2$), 5.55 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 5.0$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 4.1$ Hz, H-3).

Anal Calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}O_8$ (304.3): C, 51.31; H, 6.62. Found: C, 51.16; H, 6.43.

- 1. ELERENCES AND FOOTNOTES
1. E. Fischer, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 28, 1145 (1895).
	- 2. E. Fischer, <u>Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 28</u>, 1145 (1895).
J. Minsaas, <u>Kgl. Norske Videnskab. Selskabs. Forh.,</u> 6B,
177 (1934): Chem. Abstr. 28, 5047 (1934). E. Fischer, <u>Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 28</u>, 114
J. Minsaas, <u>Kgl. Norske Videnskab. Selskak</u>
177 (1934); <u>Chem. Abstr., 28</u>, 5047 (1934).
	- 3. J. Jarý, K. Čapek, and J. Kovář, Collect. Czech. Chem. 177 (1934); <u>Chem. Abstr., 28</u>, 5047 (1934).
J. Jarý, K. Čapek, and J. Kovář, <u>Collec</u>
Commun., 28, 2171 (1963).
	- 4. W. Voss, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 485, 283 (1931).
- 5. E. J. Cadotte, F. Smith, and D. Spriestersbach, J. *Am.* Chem. Soc., 74, 1501 (1952).
- 6. W. T. Haskins, R. M. Hann, and C. S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. *Soc.,* **68,** 628 (1964).
- 7. C. L. Stevens, K. K. Balasubramanian, C. P. Bryant, J. B. <u>SOO:</u>, <u>GO</u>, O20 (1904).
C. L. Stevens, K. K. Balasubramanian, C. P. Bryant, J. B.
Filippi,and P. M. Pillai, <u>J. Org. Chem., 38</u>, 4311 (1973).
- 8. E. S. Evtushenko and Yu. S. **Ovodov,** Khh. Prir. Soedin., 87 (1976).
- 9. I. Augestad and E. Berner, Acta Chem. Scand., 10, 911 (1956).
- 10. A. K. M. Anisuzzaman and R. L. Whistler, Carbohydr. Res., 1. Augestad and E.
A. K. M. Anisuzza
55, 205 (1977).
- 11. Cellulose powder column is also effective **for** the separation of pyranosides 1 and 2, see Refs. 9 and 16.
- 12. J. Moravcová, J. Staněk, jr., and J. Jarý, Collect. Czech. Chem. *Commn.,* to **be** published.
- 13. L. V. Backinowsky, N. F. Balm, A. S. Shashkov, and N. K. L. V. Backinowsky, N. F. Balan, A. S. Shashkov, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 84, 225 (1980); <u>Bioorg. Khim.</u>, Kochetkov, <u>Carl</u>
<u>6</u>, 464 (1980). 6 , 464 (1980).
T. Iversen and D. R. Bundle, Carbohydr. Res., 84, C13
- 14. (1980).
- 15. E. Fischer, M. Bergmann, and A. **Rabe,** Ber. Dtsch. Chem. *Ges., 53,* 2362 (1920).
- 16. L. Hough, J. **I(.** N.Jones, and W. H. Wadman, J. Chem. *Soc.,* 1702 (1950).
- 17. Naturally, 31 in the presence of calcium ions the yield is higher, about 25%.
- 18. For methyl $\mathcal{A}-\underline{\underline{\mathfrak{L}}}$ -rhamnofuranoside, Angyal predicted²¹ on the basis of \overline{z} optical rotation of ethyl glycoside³² the value $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{\text{D}}$ +113^O (water), in good agreement with our experimental value.
- 19. R. G. S. Ritchie, N. Cyr, B. Korsch, H. J. Koch, and A. S. Perlin, Can. J. *Chenn,", 53,* 1424 (1975). R. G. S. Kitchie, N. Cyl, B. Korsch, H. O. Koch, and A. S.
Perlin, <u>Can. J. Chem., 53</u>, 1424 (1975).
P. A. J. Gorin and M. Mazurek, <u>Can. J. Chem.</u>, 53, 1212 (1975).
- 20. P. A. J.Gorin and M.Mazurek, <u>Can. J. Chem., 53</u>,
A. S. Angyal, <u>Carbohydr. Res., 77</u>, 37 (1979).
- 21.
- 22. The coupling constant values from N. Cyr and A. S. Perlin, A. S. Angyal, Carbohydr. Res., 77, 37 (1979).
The coupling constant values from N. Cyr and A. S. Perl
Can. J. Chem., 57, 2504 (1979) are slightly different.
- 23. C. A. G. Haasnoot, F. A. A. M. de Leeuw, and C. Altona, Tetrahedron, 36, 2783 (1980).
- 24. C. Altona and M. Sundaralingam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 8205 (1972).
- 25. F. A. A. M. de Leeuw and C. Altona, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin 8205 (1972).
F. A. A. M. de Leeuw and C. Altona, <u>J. Chem. S</u>
Trans. II, 375 (1982).
- 26. We did not take into account the calculated $J_{1,2}$ values which are slightly higher $(^{2}T_{3}(\underline{L})$ 5.73 Hz, $^{2}E(\underline{L})'$ 5.35 Hz) than the experimental ones (Table 3). The same is true in the case of glycoside 3; the existence of two oxygen atoms at C-1 is not exactly reflected even by this method.
- 27. Optimum puckering amplitude φ_m 39⁰ is lower than the amplitude of methyl α -L-lyxo pyranoside (ϕ _m 43.1⁰, calculated ponding to the value 43.1° would be quite different from those for glycoside $\underline{3}$. those for glycoside <u>3</u>.
Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 82 88,191; Chem. Abstr., 97, from crystallographic data³³). Coupling constants corres-
- 28. 163412 (1982).
- 29. Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 82 88,192; Chem. Abstr., 97, 163413 (1982).
- 30. J.-C. Florent and C. Monneret, Carbohydr. Res., 85, 243 (1980).
- 31. S. J. Angyal, **M.** E. **Evans,** and R. J. Beveridge, **Methods** Carbohydr. Chem., 8, 233 (1980).
- 32. **J.** D. **Geerdes,** B. A. Lewis, R. Mmtgomery, and F. Smith, <u>Canongur. Chem.</u>, <u>0</u>, 253 (1964).
J. D. Geerdes, B. A. Lewis, <u>Anal. Chem., 26</u>, 264 (1954). 32. J. D. Geerdes, B. A. Lewis, R. Montgomery, and F. Smith, Anal. Chem., 26, 264 (1954).
33. R. Groth and H. Hammer, Acta Chem. Scand., 22, 2059 (1968).
-